

Recruiting Ant Colony System

Extending the ACS by a group recruitment strategy

Heiko Stamer *

April 17, 2006

Abstract

We briefly describe an approach to extend the *Ant Colony System* by a group recruitment strategy. The underlying idea is very similar to the behavior of real ants, which use e.g. tandem-techniques to guide each other to large food sources. General investigations with small and medium sized colonies have shown that such strategies in combination with mass recruitment (pheromones) increase the food-supply rate.

Surprisingly, this quite natural mechanism can be easily adapted for *Ant Algorithms* and has inspired our idea of the *Recruiting Ant Colony System*. The experiments with the optimization of a *Traveling Salesman Problem* instance show that RACS improves the auto-catalytic process. It seems that our new algorithm converge faster and more stable than the original one.

Keywords: Ant Algorithms, Ant Colony System (ACS), combinatorial optimization problems, group recruitment, Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)

1 Introduction

The class of *Ant Algorithms* was introduced by MARCO DORIGO et al. [3, 4, 5]. Such kind of algorithms rely on foraging behavior of real ant colonies, in particular, by the communication of the individual agents through pheromones. A recent overview about the biological background can be found in [6]. Experiments with the *Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)* show that these algorithms are well suitable for combinatorial optimization problems. Through the years several variations and improvements have been applied to the basic algorithm, e.g. Ant-Q [8], ACS [9, 13], *MAX-MIN* Ant System [10, 11] and AS_{rank} [12]. The most approaches fit the so called ACO meta-heuristic [7] and can be used for practical applications, e.g. routing in telecommunication networks.

2 Group recruitment strategy

For a general introduction to the underlying recruitment strategies and their modeling for real ant colonies we refer the reader to [1, 2]. SUMPTER and BEEKMAN supposed in [2] that for small sized colonies a combination of mass recruitment (pheromones) and group recruitment (tandem guided) could be useful. Starting from this idea we have implemented and tested a first modification of the Ant System, called *Recruiting Ant System (RAS)*. The algorithm and some partial results [15] were presented on a seminar for “Artificial Life” at the University of Leipzig. Due to the promising results we have revisited our previous work and can finally present an interesting improvement for the *Ant Colony System (ACS)*.

*stamer@gaos.org, <http://gaos.org/~stamer>

3 Recruiting Ant Colony System

Our model considers two different kinds of agents w.r.t. the real biological pattern:

1. **Search Ants:** Their job is to find large food sources in the environment by random search. For this purpose the common *action choice rule* of ACS is almost ideal.
2. **Transport Ants:** These agents wait in the nest until an acceptable food source is found. Then they follow with overwhelming probability the stored route of a recruiting search ant. If the track is lost, then a simple fall back to the classical orientation by pheromones appears. On the way the agents sometimes change their recruit label, i.e. they will act like recruited by a different search ant. Of course, the number of transport ants should be much larger than the number of search ants.

Recruiting algorithm for the Traveling Salesman Problem:

```

initialize pheromone array  $\tau$  by value  $\tau_0$  (calculate  $\tau_0$  like ACS [9])
distribute  $m$  Search Ants randomly over the  $n$  cities
FOR  $t = 1$  TO number of RACS-iterations
    /* Search Ants looking for good tours */
    FOR  $k = 1$  TO  $m$ 
        the  $k$ th ant is guided by  $\tau$  like ACS
        local update of  $\tau$  like ACS
        IF found tour is global best
            set  $\Delta\tau_{ij}$  like ACS
    /* recruit  $r_1$  Transport Ants for the  $r_0$  iteration best Search Ants */
    /* (a) preparing pheromone sub-array */
    FOR  $k = 1$  TO  $r_0$ 
        initialize pheromone sub-array  $\theta_{kij}$  by value 0
        set  $\Delta\theta_{kij} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{L_k} & \text{if the } k\text{th Search Ant moved from } i \text{ to } j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ 
        update  $\theta_{kij}$  by  $(1 - \alpha) \cdot \theta_{kij} + \alpha \cdot \Delta\theta_{kij}$ 
        /* (b) instructing  $r_1$  Transport Ants */
        FOR  $l = 1$  TO  $r_1$ 
            choose start city randomly
            set recruit label  $\kappa_{(k-1) \cdot r_1 + l} := k$ 
        /* Transport Ants try to optimize tours */
    FOR  $k = 1$  TO  $r_0 \cdot r_1$ 
        WHILE not all cities visited
            DO with probability  $\psi$ 
                choose city random proportional by pheromone sub-array  $\theta_{\kappa_k}$ 
            DO with probability  $\psi - \psi^2$ 
                choose city random proportional by pheromone array  $\tau$ 
            DO with probability  $(1 - \psi)^2$ 
                set recruit label  $\kappa_k$  randomly from  $[1, \dots, r_0]$ 
        IF found tour is global best
            set  $\Delta\tau_{ij}$  like ACS
    /* global update rule, similar to ACS */
    update  $\tau_{ij}$  by  $(1 - \alpha) \cdot \tau_{ij} + \alpha \cdot \Delta\tau_{ij}$ 

```

RACS has some similarities to AS_{rank} [12] and to AS with *elitist strategy* [6], but there are also complete new ideas, e.g. the group recruiting strategy, the usage of different pheromone arrays, and the change of the recruit label during the search.

4 Experiments and Results

We have only considered the TSP instance `st70` from the well known `TSPlib` [14].

ant algorithm	$T = 100$	$T = 500$	$T = 1000$
	avg. tour length	avg. tour length	avg. tour length
	std. deviation	std. deviation	std. deviation
	best tour	best tour	best tour
AS70	716.981038	706.694384	703.600421
	6.626357	4.454800	4.090950
	701.504173	695.688021	695.362217
AS420	707.990482	701.714974	700.363662
	4.623918	3.063929	2.635835
	695.012480	692.222347	691.022638
RAS with $\xi = 0.00$	702.438273	698.114644	695.274270
	12.611322	10.812993	10.295332
	680.625303	678.115854	681.005292
RAS with $\xi = 0.05$	693.048182	687.098608	686.047880
	3.742948	1.528757	1.326667
	682.961533	682.093087	682.961533
RAS with $\xi = 0.10$	702.921183	695.049664	693.412571
	3.843554	3.042670	3.122854
	690.970097	684.472720	684.472720
ACS20	716.390465	711.655752	710.515404
	14.776480	13.666000	13.752143
	685.970361	683.104249	684.327621
ACS70	694.033039	686.871297	685.730690
	9.875491	7.499485	7.317068
	679.921935	677.109609	677.109609
ACS420	695.375406	687.517755	685.199858
	9.107366	8.028459	6.883340
	677.870036	677.109609	677.109609
RACS with $\psi = 1.00$	694.227542	685.108234	683.768535
	9.987955	6.341046	6.403931
	678.127746	677.109609	677.109609
RACS with $\psi = 0.95$	689.379314	683.413386	680.869586
	12.369379	9.443083	6.506919
	677.109609	677.109609	677.109609
RACS with $\psi = 0.90$	682.366094	680.468348	680.485575
	5.816040	4.654106	5.265754
	677.109609	677.109609	677.109609

Tabular 1: Results for 70-city problem Smith/Thompson (optimal tour = 675) average tour length (final best), standard deviation and best tour through 100 runs of the algorithms with the following parameters:

AS70: $\alpha = 1.0, \beta = 5.0, \rho = 0.5, Q = 100.0, m = 70$ (uniform)

AS420: $\alpha = 1.0, \beta = 5.0, \rho = 0.5, Q = 100.0, m = 420$ (uniform)

RAS: $\alpha = 1.0, \beta = 5.0, \rho = 0.5, Q = 100.0, m = 70$ (uniform), $r_0 = 5, r_1 = m$ (random)

ACS20: $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 2.0, \rho = 0.1, q_0 = 0.9, m = 20$ (random / at most one per city)

ACS70: $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 2.0, \rho = 0.1, q_0 = 0.9, m = 70$ (uniform)

ACS420: $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 2.0, \rho = 0.1, q_0 = 0.9, m = 420$ (uniform)

RACS: $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 2.0, \rho = 0.1, q_0 = 0.9, m = 70$ (uniform), $r_0 = 5, r_1 = m$ (random)

(initial distribution of the ants). The best all over results are marked **bold**.

5 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof. Dr. RALF DER (University of Leipzig) for the interesting task (seminar “Artificial Life” 2001) which has motivated this research.

References

- [1] M. NAKAMURA, K. KURUMATANI.
Mechanism for Changing the Foraging Behavior in an Ant Colony Model.
Complexity International, Vol. **6**, 1998, ISSN 1320-0682.
- [2] D. SUMPTER, M. BEEKMAN.
How many agents make a working whole?
Oxford University, <http://www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~sumpter>.
- [3] M. DORIGO, V. MANIEZZO, A. COLORNI.
Positive Feedback as a Search Strategy.
Dip. Elettronica, Politecnico di Milano, Technical Report 91-016, 1991.
- [4] M. DORIGO, V. MANIEZZO, A. COLORNI.
Ant System: An Autocatalytic Optimizing Process.
Dip. Elettronica, Politecnico di Milano, Technical Report 91-016REV, 1991
- [5] M. DORIGO.
Optimization, Learning and Natural Algorithms.
Dip. Elettronica, Politecnico di Milano, PhD thesis, 1992.
- [6] M. DORIGO, V. MANIEZZO, A. COLORNI.
The Ant System: Optimization by a Colony of Cooperating Agents.
IEEE Transaction on Systems, Man and Cybernetics - Part B, **26(1)**, 29–42, 1996.
- [7] G. DI CARO, M. DORIGO, L. M. GAMBARDELLA.
Ant Algorithms for Discrete Optimization.
Technical Report 98-10, IRIDIA, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1998.
- [8] M. DORIGO, L. M. GAMBARDELLA.
Ant-Q: A Reinforcement Learning approach to the traveling salesman problem.
Proceedings of Machine Learning-95, Morgan Kaufman, 252–260, 1995.
- [9] M. DORIGO, L. M. GAMBARDELLA.
Ant Colony System: A Cooperative Learning Approach to the Traveling Salesman Problem. Technical Report 96-5, IRIDIA, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1996.
- [10] T. STÜTZLE, H. H. HOOS.
The MAX-MIN Ant System and Local Search for the Traveling Salesman Problem.
Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation (ICEC’97), 309–314, 1997.
- [11] T. STÜTZLE, H. H. HOOS.
Improvements on the Ant System: Introducing the MAX-MIN Ant System.
Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms, Springer Verlag, 245–249, 1998.
- [12] B. BULLNHEIMER, R. F. HARTL, C. STRAUSS.
A New Rank Based Version of the Ant System - A Computational Study.
Technical Report POM 3-97, Inst. of Management Sci., University of Vienna, 1997.
- [13] M. DORIGO, L. M. GAMBARDELLA.
Ant colonies for the traveling salesman problem.
Technical Report 96-3, IRIDIA, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1996.
- [14] <http://www.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de/groups/comopt/software/TSPLIB95/>
- [15] H. STAMER.
Ant Algorithmen für kombinatorische Optimierungsprobleme.
Fachseminar “Artificial Life” (Prof. Dr. R. Der), Universität Leipzig, 2001.